



U.S. Postal Service: Few Craft Employees Earned More Than Their Postmasters, But Adequacy and Reasonableness of Pay Differences Remain Unclear: GAO-01-1025

U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)



DOWNLOAD PDF

## U.S. Postal Service: Few Craft Employees Earned More Than Their Postmasters, But Adequacy and Reasonableness of Pay Differences Remain Uncl

By -

Bibliogov, United States, 2013. Paperback. Book Condition: New. 246 x 189 mm. Language: English . Brand New Book \*\*\*\*\* Print on Demand \*\*\*\*\*.The Postal Reorganization Act of 1970 requires the Postal Service to pay wages comparable to those of the private sector. It also requires the Service to provide adequate and reasonable pay differences between clerks and carriers and their supervisors, such as postmasters, although the act does not specify what constitutes adequate and reasonable differences. Furthermore, the act requires the Service to consult with supervisor and postmaster organizations when planning and developing pay policies and other programs affecting their members. Since the mid-1970s, two postmaster organizations have voiced concerns that adequate and reasonable pay differences do not exist between postmasters and the clerks and carriers they supervise. Recently, the organizations took their concerns to Congress. The resulting Postmasters Fairness and Rights Act would make substantive changes in the way postmasters pay is determined. Most postmasters are now paid under the Service s Executive and Administrative Schedule (EAS), which is the salary schedule that applies to nearly all supervisory and management employees. Generally, postmaster pay consists of basic pay; pay-for-performance; lump-sum merit awards; and supplemental pay, such as overtime. In...



READ ONLINE

### Reviews

*Good eBook and helpful one. It really is written in straightforward words and phrases and never confusing. I am just effortlessly could possibly get a enjoyment of looking at a published book.*

-- **Romaine Rippin**

*The book is great and fantastic. it absolutely was written very properly and beneficial. It is extremely difficult to leave it before concluding, once you begin to read the book.*

-- **Lyda Davis II**